

void Functions

Call-by-Reference Functions

Overloading Functions

CS 16: Solving Problems with Computers I
Lecture #7

Ziad Matni
Dept. of Computer Science, UCSB

Announcements

- **Midterm will be graded by next week.**
- **Homework #6 due today**
- Lab #3 is due Monday, 5/1
- Lab #4 is due Tuesday, 5/2
- **Don't forget your TAs' and Instructor's office hours!! 😊**

void Functions

- In a top-down design, we'll want to design subtasks, often implemented as functions.
- A subtask might produce:
 - No value
 - One value
 - More than one value
- We've know how to implement functions that return one value
 - So what about the other cases?

A ***void-function*** implements a subtask that
returns no value **or** more than one value

Simple void Function Example

```
1 // void function example
2 #include <iostream>
3 using namespace std;
4
5 void printmessage ()
6 {
7     cout << "I'm a function!";
8 }
9
10 int main ()
11 {
12     printmessage ();
13 }
```

void Function Definition

- void function definitions vs. regular function definitions
 - Keyword **void** replaces the type of the value returned
 - **void** = no value is returned by the function
 - The return statement does **not** include an expression

Example:

```
void show_results(double f_degrees, double c_degrees)
{
    using namespace std;
    cout << f_degrees
         << " degrees Fahrenheit is equivalent to "
         << endl
         << c_degrees << " degrees Celsius." << endl;

    return;
}
```

Calling void Functions

```
void show_results(double f_degrees, double c_degrees)
{
    using namespace std;
    cout << f_degrees
         << " degrees Fahrenheit is equivalent to "
         << endl
         << c_degrees << " degrees Celsius." << endl;

    return;
}
```

- void-function calls are *executable statements*
 - They do not need to be part of another statement
 - They end with a semi-colon

- Example:

`show_results(32.5, 0.3);`

NOT: `cout << show_results(32.5, 0.3);`

Calling **void** Functions

- Same as the function calls we have seen so far
- It is fairly common to have no parameters in **void** functions
 - In this case there will be no arguments in the function call
- *Optional* **return** statement ends the function
 - Return statement does not include a value to return
 - Return statement is implicit if it is not included

void-Functions

To Return or Not Return?

- Would we ever *need* a return-statement in a void-function if *no value* is returned?
 - Yes: there are cases where we would!
- What if a branch of an **if-else statement** requires that the function ends to avoid producing more output, or creating a mathematical error?
 - See example on next page of a void function that avoids division by zero with a return statement

Use of *return* in a *void* Function

Function Declaration

```
void ice_cream_division(int number, double total_weight);  
//Outputs instructions for dividing total_weight ounces of  
//ice cream among number customers.  
//If number is 0, nothing is done.
```

Function Definition

```
//Definition uses iostream:  
void ice_cream_division(int number, double total_weight)  
{  
    using namespace std;  
    double portion;  
  
    if (number == 0) If number is 0, then the  
                    return; ← function execution ends here.  
    portion = total_weight/number;  
    cout.setf(ios::fixed);  
    cout.setf(ios::showpoint);  
    cout.precision(2);  
    cout << "Each one receives "  
        << portion << " ounces of ice cream." << endl;  
}
```

The main Function

- The main function in a program is used like a void function
 - So *why* do we have to end the program with a return statement?
- Because the main function is defined to return a value of type **int**, therefore a **return** is needed
 - It's a matter of what is “legal” and “not legal” in C++
 - **void main ()** is not legal in C++ !! (this ain't Java)
 - Most compilers will not accept a void main, but not all of them...
 - Solution? Stick to what's legal: it's ALWAYS **int main ()**
- The C++ standard also says the **return 0** can be omitted, but many compilers still require it
 - No compiler will complain if *you have* the return 0 statement in **main**
 - Solution? Always include **return 0** in the **main** function to be safe.

Call-by-Value vs Call-by-Reference

- When you call a function, your arguments are getting passed on as *values*
 - At least, with what we've seen so far...
 - The call **func(a, b)** passes on the *values* of **a** and **b**
- You can also call a function with your arguments used as *references* to the actual variable location in memory
 - Why would we want to do that?

Call-by-Reference Parameters

- “Call-by-reference” parameters allow us to change the **variable** used in the function call
 - “Normally”, you wouldn’t change the variable in the parameter (this is called call-by-value)
- Note: Arguments for call-by-reference parameters must be variables, not numbers
 - i.e. `fn(var)`, not `fn(5)`
- We use the ampersand symbol (**&**) to distinguish a variable as being called-by-reference, in a function definition

Call-by-Reference Parameters

- Recall that, up until now, we have changed the values of formal parameters in a function body, **but we have not changed the arguments found in the function call!**
 - Example: when you call **func(a, b, c)**, you might get a returned value for **func**, but **a**, **b**, and **c** do not change after the call.
- So if you want to get an input (via **cin**) inside a function using call-by-value, it wouldn't "stick" outside that function!

Call-by-Reference Example

```
void get_input(double& f_variable)
{
    cout << " Convert a Fahrenheit temperature"
         << " to Celsius.\n"
         << " Enter a temperature in Fahrenheit: ";
    cin >> f_variable;
}
```

Now you can use `f_variable`'s new value from the `main()`
(or from wherever the function got called)

- **'&'** symbol (ampersand) identifies **f_variable** as a call-by-reference parameter
 - Note: Has to be used in both declaration and definition!

Call-By-Reference Details

- Call-by-reference works almost as if the argument **variable itself** is substituted for the formal parameter, not the argument's **value**
- In reality, it's the ***memory location of the argument variable*** that is given to the formal parameter
 - Whatever is done to a formal parameter in the function body, is ***actually done to the value at the memory location of the argument variable***

Call-by-Reference Behavior

- Assume variables **first** and **second** are assigned memory addresses **1010** and **1012** by the compiler, respectively
- Now a function call executes: `get_numbers(first, second);`
- The function is defined as:

```
void get_numbers(int& first, int& second) {  
    cout << "Enter two integers: "  
    cin >> first >> second; }
```

- The function may as well say:

```
void get_numbers(the int variable at memory location 1010,  
the int variable at memory location 1012) {  
    cout << "Enter two integers: "  
    cin >> the variable at memory location 1010;  
    >> the variable at memory location 1012; }
```

Call by-Reference vs by-Value

- Call-by-reference

- The function call:

f(age);

Memory

Name	Location	Contents
age	1001	34
initial	1002	A
hours	1003	23.5
	1004	

void f(int& ref_par);

- Call-by-value

- The function call:

f(age);

void f(int var_par);

Example: swap_values

```
void swap(int& variable1, int& variable2)
{
    int temp = variable1;
    variable1 = variable2;
    variable2 = temp;
}
```

- If called with `swap(first_num, second_num);`
 - The values of `first_num` and `second_num` are swapped
 - Can ONLY do this if the function is call-by-reference

Mixed Parameter Lists

- Call-by-value and call-by-reference parameters **can** be mixed in the same function
- Example:

```
void good_stuff(int& par1, int par2, double& par3);
```

 - par1 and par3 are call-by-reference formal parameters
 - Changes in par1 and par3 change the argument variable
 - par2 is a call-by-value formal parameter
 - Changes in par2 do not change the argument variable

Caution!

Inadvertent Local Variables

- Forgetting the ampersand (&) creates a call-by-value parameter
 - The value of the variable will not be changed
- The formal parameter (i.e. when called-by-value) is a local variable **that has no effect outside the function**
 - Hard error to debug/find... because it looks right!

Overloading Function Names

- **C++ allows more than one definition**
for the **same function** name
 - Very convenient for situations in which the “same” function is needed for different numbers or types of arguments
- ***Overloading a function name:***
providing more than one declaration and definition
using the same function name

Overloading Examples

Do not use the same function name for unrelated functions

```
double average(double n1, double n2)
{
    return ((n1 + n2) / 2);
}
```

```
double average(double n1, double n2, double n3)
{
    return (( n1 + n2 + n3) / 3);
}
```

- Compiler checks the **number and types of arguments** in the function call & then decides which function to use.
- So, with a statement like:

```
cout << average( 10, 20, 30);
```

the compiler knows to use the second definition

Overloading Details



- Overloaded functions
 - Must have *different numbers* of formal parameters, but Must all be the same type
 - e.g.: **double average(int a, int b)** vs. **double average(int a, int b, int c)**

OR

- They can have the same number of parameters, but Must have at least one of them be of a *different type*
 - e.g.: **void print(int a)** vs. **void print(double a)** vs. **void print(char a)**
- You can not overload function declarations that differ only by return type.

Example from Textbook, Ch. 4

Overloading a Function Name

```
//Illustrates overloading the function name ave.  
#include <iostream>  
  
double ave(double n1, double n2);  
//Returns the average of the two numbers n1 and n2.  
  
double ave(double n1, double n2, double n3);  
//Returns the average of the three numbers n1, n2, and n3.  
  
int main()  
{  
    using namespace std;  
    cout << "The average of 2.0, 2.5, and 3.0 is "  
        << ave(2.0, 2.5, 3.0) << endl;  
  
    cout << "The average of 4.5 and 5.5 is "  
        << ave(4.5, 5.5) << endl;  
  
    return 0;  
}  
  
double ave(double n1, double n2)  two arguments  
{  
    return ((n1 + n2)/2.0);  
}  
  
double ave(double n1, double n2, double n3)  three arguments  
{  
    return ((n1 + n2 + n3)/3.0);  
}
```

Output

```
The average of 2.0, 2.5, and 3.0 is 2.50000  
The average of 4.5 and 5.5 is 5.00000
```

Automatic Type Conversion

- C++ will automatically convert types between int and double in multiple examples
 - Eg. If I divide integers, I get integers: $13/2 = 6$
 - Eg. If I make one of these a double, I get a double: $13/2.0 = 6.5$
- It does the same with overloaded functions, for example, given the definition:

```
double mpg(double miles, double gallons) {  
    return (miles / gallons);  
}
```

what will happen if **mpg** is called in this way?

```
cout << mpg(45, 2) << " miles per gallon";
```

- The values of the arguments will automatically be converted to type **double** (45.0 and 2.0)

</LECTURE>